

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION  
3:18-cr-327-MOC-DCK-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Vs.

JERRY MIKEL HOLLOMAN,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)


ORDER

**THIS MATTER** comes before the Court on Defendant’s “Motion for Reconsideration,” which the Court construes as Defendant’s second pro se Motion for Compassionate Release/Reduction of Sentence, based on changed circumstances. (Doc. No. 39). Title 18, Section 3582(c)(1)(A)(i) authorizes criminal defendants to request compassionate release from imprisonment based on “extraordinary and compelling reasons.” But before doing so, they must at least ask the Bureau of Prisons to do so on their behalf and give the Bureau thirty days to respond. See United States v. Raia, No. 20-1033, 2020 WL 1647922, at \*1 (3d Cir. Apr. 2, 2020). Here, as the Government asserts in its brief in opposition, Defendant did not exhaust his administrative remedies before filing the pending motion. Because Defendant has not exhausted available administrative remedies, the Court denies Defendant’s motion.

**ORDER**

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that Defendant’s pro se Defendant’s “Motion for Reconsideration,” (Doc. No. 39), is **DENIED**, without prejudice to Defendant to refile the motion after he has exhausted his remedies with the BOP.

Signed: March 12, 2021

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Max O. Cogburn Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

Max O. Cogburn Jr.  
United States District Judge

